# THE PROCESS OF THE

#### Situation Table

#### THE FOUR FILTER APPROACH

## FILTER ONE - PRIOR TO BRINGING THE SITUATION TO THE TABLE



- Occurs at the originating service agency.
- Could my client's situation be managed more effectively through a multi-sector, collaborative approach?
- If yes? Bring it to the Table!

### FILTER TWO - DE-IDENTIFIED DISCUSSION AT THE TABLE

- Occurs at the Situation Table meeting.
- The originating agency shares their client's risk factors.
- Does my client's situation meet the threshold of Acutely Elevated Risk?

# 2



#### **ACUTELY ELEVATED RISK**

- Significant interest at stake (service gaps & system failings have led the client to the AER threshold).
- 2. Probability that harm will occur if nothing is done.
- 3.<u>Severe</u> intensity of harm.
- Multi-disciplinary nature of risk (the risk factors cut across multiple human service disciplines).

# FILTER THREE - DISCUSSION TO DETERMINE INTERVENING AGENCIES

- If AER is met, the originating agency shares the situation and the client's name.
- Table participants <u>pause for agency</u> <u>recognition</u> (agencies check their records for involvement with the client, if they don't know them directly).
- The risk factors are entered onto the database.



#### FILTER FOUR - DISCUSSION AMONGST RECOGNIZED AGENCIES

- These agencies meet separately to discuss an intervention within 24/48hrs.
  What strategies can be used to connect this client with services?
  - How can a wraparound approach lower this client's elevated risk?